

## Role of University Research to Promote Economic Growth and Development.

Adetunji Taiwo Adesoji

Department of Creative Arts, Faculty of Arts, University of Lagos

Correspondence Email Address: [yemi\\_taiwo2003@yahoo.co.uk](mailto:yemi_taiwo2003@yahoo.co.uk)

### Abstract

*This paper examined the role of university research in driving economic growth and development. It determined how universities worldwide have shifted from focusing on education and theoretical research to conducting applied research. This change has led to technological advancements, job creation, and skill development thereby promoting entrepreneurial activities for economic development. The research uses Etzkowitz's triple helix framework to explore collaboration among universities, industries, and governments. It examines policies like the Bayh-Dole Act. Despite its potential, Nigerian university research faces significant barriers, including inadequate funding, weak academia-industry linkages, and limited commercialization. These challenges hinder the sector's ability to contribute to sustainable economic growth. The study points out important gaps in knowledge, like the long-term effects of university-led programmes. It highlights the need for inclusivity in workforce development, particularly in underserved regions. It emphasizes the need for interdisciplinary approaches, equitable funding, and strategic investments in emerging sectors like biotechnology and renewable energy. The findings highlight actionable strategies aimed at fostering innovation and development. Nigerian universities and industries are encouraged to collaborate more closely to drive innovation and maximize mutual benefits. The establishment of Technology Transfer Offices (TTOs) is recommended as a means to transform research outcomes into marketable products, bridging the gap between academia and industry. To address the persistent issue of underfunding in Nigerian universities, the government is urged to increase investments in research infrastructure, with priority given to emerging sectors such as renewable energy, biotechnology, and artificial intelligence. Additionally, policymakers should revise university curricula to emphasize entrepreneurial and technical education. This approach would equip graduates with industry-relevant skills, enhance self-reliance, and contribute to job creation.*

**Keywords:** Collaboration, Commercialization, Economic Growth, Innovation, University Research

### Introduction

University research refers to systematic investigations undertaken by higher education institutions to generate, expand, and apply knowledge across diverse disciplines. Encompassing both theoretical and practical research. University research emerged as a critical driver of economic growth and development in the modern global economy (Agasisti, and Petrenko, 2024). Brekke, (2021) emphasize that, it plays a key role in building workforce capabilities, shaping national and regional economies and promoting entrepreneurial activities including technological innovations and development. University research was originally focused on theoretical pursuits driven by intellectual curiosity. In the mid-20th century, it expanded to include applied research due to industrialization, global competition, and government funding (Gibbons, M., Limoges, C., Nowotny *et al.*, 1994). Today, universities act as centers of innovation, connecting academia, industry, and society. They contribute to technological progress and economic growth through research parks, start-ups, and international collaborations.

Despite these advancements, significant challenges persist in research funding and dissemination of research findings particularly in developing countries like Nigeria. Inadequate funding and weak connections between universities and industries limit the impact of university research (Okoduwa, Calignano, Cobo, 2023). These challenges make it difficult to turn academic findings into marketable innovations. Global trends like the focus on STEM fields, green technologies, and international research collaboration show the growing importance of research. These trends call for better policies and increased investment in research infrastructure. This study examines how university research contributes to economic growth and drives innovation globally. It also explores the challenges developing countries face in using research to achieve sustainable development.

### **Objectives**

- Analyze the historical evolution of university research from theoretical studies to applied innovation.
- Investigate the mechanisms through which university research contributes to economic development.
- Identify gaps in understanding and measuring the economic impact of university research.
- Evaluate challenges in translating research findings into tangible economic benefits.
- Provide actionable recommendations for developing countries to maximize the economic potential of university research.

### **Literature Review**

#### **Overview of University Research and Economic Development**

University research and economic development are closely linked. Universities serve as critical hubs for driving innovation, sharing knowledge, and boosting local economies. The Universities system focused primarily on teaching and theoretical research (Feller, 1990). However, the Industrial Revolution, institutions began prioritizing applied research to meet industrial and societal needs. The post-World War II era brought major changes with government-funded research programs. The U.S. National Science Foundation and the Bayh-Dole Act encouraged universities to turn their research into commercial opportunities (Acs & Storey, 2004). Universities became major contributors to economic growth in the late 20th century. They achieved this through research parks, innovation centers, and collaborations with industry and government. Scholars agree on the transformative role of universities in regional economic development and innovation. Etzkowitz's (2003) triple helix model highlights the synergy between universities, industry, and government as a driver of economic progress. The

commercialization of university research through patents and licensing has been widely recognized as a major contributor to economic advancement (Salter, & Martin 2001). There are notable disagreements. Bako (2005) caution against overemphasizing universities' economic roles at the expense of their educational and research missions. Mohammed and Girma (2011), argue that commercialization favors wealthy regions and large corporations. This exacerbates inequalities and leaving marginalized communities behind.

The interplay between university research and economic development reveals critical gaps in knowledge. Research is limited on how university-driven economic activities impact social equity and inclusion, especially in underserved regions (Akinwale *et al.*, 2012). While short-term economic benefits of initiatives such as research parks are well-documented, evidence on their long-term sustainability remains sparse. Global disparities remain a challenge in university research and economic development. Siyanbola, (2016) argues that, studies focus on universities in developed countries, while the role of institutions in low-income regions is mostly overlooked. There are ethical concerns about turning publicly funded research into commercial products. Issues such as conflicts of interest and privatizing public goods are not fully addressed.

### **Historical link between Research and Economic Growth**

Research and economic growth have been closely connected throughout history. This relationship is marked by significant milestones over time. According to Doré, and Teixeira (2023), the pre-industrial era, economic activities were largely agrarian, with little emphasis on systematic research. Moyo and Phiri, (2024) note that, the Industrial revolution marked a turning point as scientific discoveries and mechanization revolutionized industries, boosting productivity and economic output. The establishment of research institutions during this period promotes collaboration between scientists and industrialists. These initiatives led to technological innovations such as the steam engine and advancements in textile manufacturing. Government investments in research during the 20th century, especially in defense, healthcare, and space exploration, boosted economic growth (Jin, 2009). These efforts laid the groundwork for the modern knowledge economy of the 21st century. Hall, and Jones (1999) emphasized that, research now drives advancements in information technology, biotechnology, and other rapidly growing industries.

Inglesi-Lotz and Pouris, (2013), agree on the positive impact of research and development (R&D) on economic growth. Paul Romer's endogenous growth model highlights how technological innovation supports economic expansion. Institutional economists focus on the role of strong legal and property systems. Empirical evidence shows that higher R&D spending is linked to increased GDP growth. Many studies highlight the broader benefits research brings to the economy. However, some disagreements

persist. Hatemi-J *et al.* (2016), question whether research drives economic growth or whether prosperous economies simply invest more in research. Grossman, & Helpman, (1991), argue that the benefits of R&D are not shared equally. They believe developed nations gain more from R&D than less industrialized regions.

Despite substantial research on this topic, certain areas remain underexplored or inconclusive. Basic research lays the foundation for groundbreaking innovations, but its long-term economic effects are harder to measure. Applied research provides immediate practical applications that are easier to quantify. Amar, Pratama, & Anis, (2020) notes that, the impact of R&D varies across regions and sectors, warranting deeper exploration. This is crucial in developing economies, where limitations in infrastructure and human capital hinder the conversion of research into measurable economic gains. Questions remain about the optimal levels of R&D investment needed to sustain growth without encountering diminishing returns.

### **University Research as a Catalyst for Economic Growth**

The creation of new industries through university research has evolved over time, transitioning from traditional knowledge advancement to a central role in economic development and innovation (Medina-Bueno *et al.*, 2024). University research has shifted from simply advancing knowledge to driving economic development and innovation (Kroll, & Schubert, 2023). In the 19th and early 20th centuries, universities mainly concentrate on education and basic research. Their direct impact on industry was limited during this time (Kitagawa *et al.*, 2022). This changed during World War II when universities received federal funding for research. Their work contributed to major technological advancements like radar and nuclear technology.

The post-war period saw a growing emphasis on applied research. The Bayh-Dole Act of 1980 allowed universities to commercialize federally funded discoveries. This led to a rise in patents, spin-offs, and new industries in areas like biotechnology and information technology. Henderson, Morgan, and Delbridge, (2023) asserts that, Universities around the world play a key role in advancing industries such as artificial intelligence and renewable energy. They have become essential contributors to global economic systems.

Scholars recognize university research as a driving force for innovation. Models like Etzkowitz and Leydesdorff's 'triple helix' emphasize collaboration between academia, industry, and government. Debates persist regarding the commercialization of academic work. Proponents believe technology transfer and patenting benefit society. Critics like Guerrero, Cunningham and Urbano, (2015), warn that focusing on market-driven research, known as 'academic capitalism,' may harm the pursuit of fundamental knowledge. The role of Technology Transfer Offices (TTOs) is debated. Guimón, and Paunov, (2022), see them as crucial for connecting academia and industry, while others criticize their profit-driven focus for neglecting broader societal needs.

Despite advancements, gaps in knowledge remain. There is limited data linking university research to long-term industrial success. The effectiveness of policies like the Bayh-Dole Act in promoting equitable economic development remains debated. Elite institutions and well-resourced regions benefit the most from university-driven industry creation. Smaller universities and underrepresented groups face fewer opportunities as a result. Research on interdisciplinary collaboration, critical for emerging fields like quantum computing and synthetic biology, is insufficient. Perspectives from the Global South are missing in the literature. This leaves questions about how universities in these regions address challenges and contribute to industry creation.

### **Job Creation and Workforce Development**

Job creation and workforce development are pivotal for addressing unemployment and promoting economic growth, in Nigeria. University research in Nigeria has played a crucial role in shaping these themes, evolving alongside the nation's socio-economic dynamics. During the colonial period, universities focused on training people for administrative roles (Okolie *et al.*, 2021). They however prioritize, manpower planning in driving national development after independence. The focus shifted to include skill development to address changing economic needs. This became more urgent during the Structural Adjustment Program (SAP) of the 1980s, which exposed a gap between graduate skills and labor market demands (Nwosu *et al.*, 2024). The emphasis has now been shifted towards entrepreneurship education and ICT-driven job opportunities. This reflects the demands of a modern economy.

Area of agreement among scholars is the need for entrepreneurial education to foster self-reliance and reduce unemployment. Many researchers highlight the inadequacy of Nigerian universities in producing graduates with labor market-relevant skills. They call for comprehensive curriculum reforms. There is a consensus on the central role of universities in workforce development. This can be achieved through technical and vocational education and training (TVET). These shared perspectives emphasize the need for Nigerian universities to align their programs with industry requirements. This alignment will ensure that graduates possess both theoretical knowledge and practical skills.

Despite these agreements, scholars diverge on several issues. Some argue that entrepreneurship programs in universities are effective in fostering job creation. Others believe these programs remain overly theoretical, lacking practical application. There is ongoing debate about whether to prioritize ICT and technology-driven jobs or traditional sectors like agriculture and manufacturing. Both areas are important, but traditional sectors still offer significant employment opportunities. Opinions differ on the government's role in workforce development. Some believe the government should intervene more, while others argue that universities should have the autonomy to drive innovation.

Gaps in evidence highlight areas requiring further exploration. There is limited empirical research on the long-term impact of university-based business incubators and entrepreneurship programs on job creation. Longitudinal studies tracking the career outcomes of graduates who participated in workforce development initiatives are scarce. Research on interdisciplinary approaches within universities is still limited. Collaboration between faculties like business and engineering to promote innovation has not been fully explored. These gaps limit the ability to assess the effectiveness of existing initiatives.

Future research should explore the inclusivity of workforce development programs. It should examine their impact on marginalized groups, including women, rural populations, and persons with disabilities. Exploring successful models of university-industry collaboration from other countries will inform policy and practice in Nigeria. Preparing students for emerging sectors is essential for future workforce needs. Fields like renewable energy, biotechnology, and artificial intelligence require focused development efforts. Addressing these evidence gaps and promoting innovation will strengthen Nigerian universities' impact. This will boost economic growth and help reduce unemployment.

### **Commercialization of University Research in Nigeria**

Commercializing university research in Nigeria helps connect academia with industry. This approach supports economic growth and development. Research commercialization in Nigeria has evolved through distinct phases. During the pre-independence era, research was minimal and primarily served colonial administrative purposes. The establishment of premier universities, such as the University of Ibadan and Ahmadu Bello University, marked the beginning of structured research efforts. Commercialization became important in the 1980s due to economic challenges. Reduced government funding pushed universities to find other sources of income. National policies, such as the 2012 National Science, Technology, and Innovation Policy (NSTIP), aim to promote commercialization. However, progress in implementing these policies has been uneven.

Scholars agree that research commercialization is vital for Nigeria's economic sustainability but differ on the primary barriers to its success. Adejumo (2020) highlights the need for stronger industry-academia linkages and improved intellectual property (IP) frameworks to protect researchers' innovations. Nwosu and Ugwuanyi (2019), point to the lack of entrepreneurial orientation among academics as a critical impediment. Another area of contention is the role of government. Some scholars support greater government intervention to create supportive environments for commercialization. Others argue that a market-driven approach is better for building partnerships and encouraging innovation.

Despite growing interest, there are gaps in the literature on the commercialization of university research in Nigeria. Empirical studies evaluating the effectiveness of existing frameworks, such as technology transfer offices, are limited. There is little research on how commercialization varies across academic

disciplines, such as engineering, agriculture, and medicine (Adejumo, 2020). The scarcity of documented success stories or case studies further hampers the identification of best practices and scalable models.

Challenge lies in the disconnect between policy frameworks and institutional realities. Policies such as NSTIP offer a guide for commercialization. Universities face challenges like insufficient funding, poor infrastructure, and bureaucratic delays. Universities lack the autonomy and incentives to align their research agendas with commercial opportunities. Insufficient capacity-building programs and a lack of rewards for entrepreneurial researchers exacerbate the issue, leaving promising innovations underutilized.

### **Social and Economic Spillovers of Academic Research**

Academic research has been a driver of social and economic development worldwide, and Nigeria is no exception (Odia, and Omofonmwan, 2013). Academic research in Nigeria began during the colonial era. It serves foreign interests by addressing agricultural productivity and public health issues, such as tropical diseases (Okunlola, Sani, and Ayetigbo, 2023). Nigerian universities and research institutions sought to make research outputs more relevant to national challenges. These include food security, industrialization, and education reform. These efforts were hampered by insufficient infrastructure and funding. The Structural Adjustment Program (SAP) of the 1980s exacerbated the situation. This led to a decline in research output and a wave of brain drain. Academic research in Nigeria has experienced a revival in recent decades (Matemilola, and Elegbede, 2017). Globalization, international collaborations, and initiatives like the National Office for Technology Acquisition and Promotion (NOTAP) have driven this growth.

Scholars agree on the critical role academic research plays in innovation, healthcare, and policymaking. Advancements in agriculture through improved crop varieties and the eradication of diseases like Guinea worm underscore the value of research (Hopkins, Weiss, Yerian et al., 2024). Many agree that inadequate funding and limited infrastructure are major challenges for academic research in Nigeria (IseOlorunkanmi, Rotimi, Adebola et al., 2024). Weak connections between academia and industry prevent research from reaching its full potential. Some scholars emphasize the potential for private-sector partnerships to enhance the social and economic impact of research. Others warn that profit-driven motives might deprioritize projects with purely social benefits.

Despite the progress made, there are gaps in understanding and maximizing the spillovers of academic research in Nigeria. There is little empirical evidence quantifying the impact of research on economic growth, employment, or societal well-being (Qi D, Ali A, Li T, Chen YC et al., 2024). Sectoral analyses are narrow, focusing on agriculture and health, neglecting education, urban planning, and technology. The absence of longitudinal studies limits the ability to assess the long-term effects of research outputs.

Regional disparities in research capacity and impact across Nigeria's geopolitical zones remain unexamined (Adejumo, 2020).

Nigeria needs a coordinated approach involving academia, industry, and government. It is essential to strengthen institutions like NOTAP and promote university-industry partnerships. Providing competitive grants and recognition for impactful research incentivize researchers to focus on high-quality, relevant projects. Research findings should be turned into practical solutions and shared widely. This will help academic outputs directly impact social and economic outcomes.

### **Global Competitiveness and University Research**

The relationship between global competitiveness and university research has become a focal point of academic and policy discussions. Universities are viewed as critical engines of innovation. They contribute to national and international economic growth through research outputs. While the medieval universities focused on theology and philosophy, modern research universities drive technological advancements to address societal and industrial needs (Arviansyah, Azis, Sondari, et al 2024). This transformation aligned university missions with global competitiveness metrics. These includes the Global innovation index and global university rankings (Schultheiss *et al.*, 2023). These developments sparked debates regarding equity, accessibility, and the broader societal impact of university research.

University research became important during the Industrial Revolution. Institutions began addressing industrial and technological needs (Khan, 2015). The post-World War II era underscored the strategic importance of research, especially in national security and economic growth. Universities play a vital role in global innovation systems, working closely with governments and industries to drive competitiveness. Initiatives like the Triple Helix Model highlight the collaborative paradigm by emphasizing the intersection of academia, government, and industry in driving innovation (Etzkowitz, 2003).

Scholars agree on the critical role universities play in promoting innovation and contributing to the global knowledge economy (Davenport, 2001). Metrics such as publication counts and citation indices are recognized as tools for evaluating research productivity. There is considerable debate over the effectiveness of these metrics and their potential drawbacks. Some argue that global university rankings disproportionately favor research output over teaching quality and societal contributions (Gadd, 2021). Others critique the commercialization of university research. They assert that it undermines the public good mission of higher education and prioritizes market-driven goals over community impact.

Despite advancements in understanding the role of university research in global competitiveness, several gaps in knowledge persist. The contributions of universities in developing nations remain underexplored, with much of the literature dominated by institutions in the Global North (Amarante, Burger, Chelwa, Cockburn et al, 2021). The long-term societal and environmental impacts of research focused on

competitiveness are insufficiently studied. The reliance on bibliometric measures excludes alternative metrics that capture broader impacts. This includes community engagement and sustainability. Little attention has been given to how university research affects social equity and inclusiveness, leaving critical questions unanswered.

### **Challenges and barriers in leveraging University Research for Economic growth**

The potential for university research to drive economic growth in Nigeria remains untapped due to persistent challenges and barriers. Nigerian universities were set up during the colonial period to focus on education and training for administrative roles (Olayemi, 2019). Research aimed at driving economic development was given little attention. This trend continued after independence, with universities struggling to prioritize meaningful research. Political instability, poor funding, and limited industrial growth hindered their efforts. The Structural Adjustment Program (SAP) in the 1980s worsened the situation by reducing education funding (Okeke, 2021). This created a larger divide between universities and industries.

Recent policies, like the National Science, Technology, and Innovation (STI) Policy, aim to address the challenges. Issues such as corruption, poor implementation, and inadequate funding still block progress. Chronic underfunding is a major challenge for universities, resulting in poor infrastructure and scarce research resources (Adejumo, 2020). This prevents them from meeting global standards. This lack of funding stifles innovative projects and discourages collaboration between universities and industries. Olayemi (2019) highlight how the lack of financial support makes it difficult for researchers to develop solutions to national economic challenges. Weak policy implementation undermined efforts to promote research and development. Ajayi (2017) notes that while Nigeria has adopted policies like the STI Policy to promote innovation, political instability and bureaucratic hurdles have prevented their effective execution.

Another barrier is the disconnect between academia and industry. Nigerian industries have shown limited interest in investing in academic research. This is due to a lack of incentives and mutual understanding. Alabi (2020) emphasizes the need for stronger university-industry partnerships to facilitate the commercialization of research outputs. The ongoing issue of brain drain compounds this problem. Skilled researchers migrate abroad in search of better opportunities, leaving universities with talent deficits. Corruption and mismanagement remain prevalent, with research funds diverted or poorly utilized, as observed by Okeke (2021).

Scholars agree on the importance of addressing funding shortages and promoting university-industry collaboration as priorities for leveraging research for economic growth. Debates persist regarding who should bear the primary responsibility for funding research. Scholars argue it should be the responsibility of both the government and the private sector contributions. Some researchers advocate

focusing on STEM fields to drive economic development. Okeke (2021) argue for a more balanced approach that includes the social sciences and humanities. He offered insights into societal and cultural dimensions of economic growth.

There are gaps in knowledge and evidence regarding the impact of existing policies and the role of informal sectors in leveraging university research. Despite Nigeria's reliance on informal economies, little research explores how university innovations could support these sectors. Regional disparities in university funding and infrastructure, as well as the societal and cultural factors influencing attitudes toward research, remain underexplored. Empirical studies on these areas would provide valuable insights for addressing systemic barriers and creating more inclusive strategies for economic growth.

### **Conclusion**

University research plays a key role in economic growth and development. It drives innovation, supports entrepreneurship, and helps build a skilled workforce. Historical analysis shows how university research has transformed economies over time. It has contributed to industrial and technological revolutions and is now central to the modern knowledge economy. University research is not fully utilized in regions like Nigeria. This is due to problems like poor funding, weak connections between universities and industries, and limited use of research for commercial purposes.

Key findings highlight the importance of strong partnerships between universities, industries, and governments. Models such as the Triple Helix show how these collaborations can boost the economic impact of research. Nigerian universities need better funding, infrastructure, and policies to succeed. Fixing these gaps is crucial for them to compete globally and solve local economic problems. Promoting interdisciplinary approaches and inclusivity in workforce development will enhance social and economic equity.

Actionable strategies such as targeted investments in emerging sectors, promoting entrepreneurial culture within academia, and strengthening policy frameworks are essential. Nigerian universities can drive economic growth by addressing existing challenges. Making full use of academic research will strengthen their impact. This will help them compete globally and support sustainable development. This study provides useful insights for policymakers, academics, and industry leaders. It shows how university research drive positive change in Nigeria and similar regions.

### **Recommendations**

- Universities and industries in Nigeria should work more closely together to drive innovation. Establishing Technology Transfer Offices (TTOs) can help turn research into marketable products and create benefits for both sides.

- The government should tackle the underfunding of Nigerian universities by boosting investments in research infrastructure. Priority funding should focus on emerging sectors like renewable energy, biotechnology, and artificial intelligence.
- Policy makers should update university curricula to focus on entrepreneurial and technical education. This will equip graduates with skills that match industry needs, promote self-reliance, and create jobs.

## Reference

- Acs, Z., & Storey, D. (2004). Introduction: Entrepreneurship and economic development. *Regional Studies*, 38(8), 871–877. <https://doi.org/10.1080/0034340042000280901>
- Agasisti, T., & Petrenko, O. (2024). Higher education and economic development: A bibliometric analysis 1985–2022. *European Journal of Education*, 59(3). <https://doi.org/10.1111/ejed.12653>
- Akinwale, Y. O., Dada, A. D., Oluwadare, A. J., Jesuleye, O. A., & Siyanbola, W. O. (2012). Understanding the nexus of R&D, innovation and economic growth in Nigeria. *International Business Research*, 5(11), 187–196. <https://doi.org/10.5539/ibr.v5n11p187>
- Amarante, V., Burger, R., Chelwa, G., Cockburn, J., Kassouf, A., McKay, A., & Zurbrigg, J. (2021). Underrepresentation of developing country researchers in development research. *Applied Economics Letters*, 29(17), 1659–1664. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13504851.2021.1965528>
- Amar, S., Pratama Idris, I., & Anis, A. (2020). Exploring the link between income inequality, poverty reduction, and economic growth: An ASEAN perspective. *International Journal of Innovation, Creativity, and Change*, 11(2), 24–41.
- Arviansyah, M. R., Azis, Y., Sondari, M. C., et al. (2024). Current trend and future research agenda for technological innovation in higher education institutions. *Humanities and Social Sciences Communications*, 11, 1686. <https://doi.org/10.1057/s41599-024-04150-7>
- Bako, S. (2005). Universities, research and development in Nigeria: Time for a paradigmatic shift. Paper presented at the 11th General Assembly of CODESRIA on Rethinking African Development: Beyond Impasse: Towards Alternatives, Maputo, Mozambique, December 6–8.
- Brekke, T. (2021). What do we know about the university contribution to regional economic development? A conceptual framework. *International Regional Science Review*, 44(2), 229–261. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0160017620909538>
- Davenport, P. (2001). Universities and the knowledge economy. *Ivey Business Journal*. <https://iveybusinessjournal.com>
- Doré, N. I., & Teixeira, A. A. C. (2023). Empirical literature on economic growth, 1991–2020: Uncovering extant gaps and avenues for future research. *Global Journal of Emerging Market Economies*, 15(1), 7–37. <https://doi.org/10.1177/09749101211061626>
- Drucker, J., & Goldstein, H. (2007). Assessing the regional economic development impacts of universities: A review of current approaches. *International Regional Science Review*, 30(1), 20–46. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0160017606296731>
- Etzkowitz, H. (2003). Innovation in innovation: The Triple Helix of university-industry-government relations. *Social Science Information*, 42(3), 293–337. <https://doi.org/10.1177/05390184030423002>
- Feller, I. (1990). Universities as engines of R&D-based economic growth: They think they can. *Research Policy*, 19(4), 335–348. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0048-7333\(90\)90017-Z](https://doi.org/10.1016/0048-7333(90)90017-Z)
- Gadd, E. (2021). Mis-measuring our universities: Why global university rankings don't add up. *Frontiers in Research Metrics and Analytics*, 6, 680023. <https://doi.org/10.3389/frma.2021.680023>

- Gibbons, M., Limoges, C., Nowotny, H., Schwartzman, S., Scott, P., & Trow, M. (1994). *The new production of knowledge: The dynamics of science and research in contemporary societies*. SAGE Publications.
- Grossman, G. M., & Helpman, E. (1991). Trade, knowledge spillovers, and growth. *European Economic Review*, 35(2–3), 517–526. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0014-2921\(91\)90153-A](https://doi.org/10.1016/0014-2921(91)90153-A)
- Guerrero, M., Cunningham, J., & Urbano, D. (2015). Economic impact of entrepreneurial universities' activities: An exploratory study of the United Kingdom. *Research Policy*, 44(3), 748–764. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.respol.2014.10.008>
- Guimón, J., & Paunov, C. (2022). The policy mix to promote university-industry knowledge transfer: A conceptual framework. In J. M. Azagra-Caro, P. D'Este, & D. Barberá-Tomás (Eds.), *University-Industry knowledge interactions* (pp. 67–88). Springer.
- Hall, R. E., & Jones, C. I. (1999). Why do some countries produce so much more output per worker than others? *The Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 114(1), 83–116. <https://doi.org/10.1162/003355399555954>
- Hatemi-J, A., Ajmi, A. N., El Montasser, G., Inglesi-Lotz, R., & Gupta, R. (2016). Research output and economic growth in G7 countries: New evidence from asymmetric panel causality testing. *Applied Economics*, 48(24), 2301–2308. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00036846.2015.1117052>
- Henderson, D., Morgan, K., & Delbridge, R. (2023). Putting missions in their place: Micro-missions and the role of universities in delivering challenge-led innovation. *Regional Studies*. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00343404.2023.2176840>
- Hopkins, D. R., Weiss, A. J., Yerian, S., Zhao, Y., Sapp, S. G. H., & Cama, V. A. (2024). Progress toward global dracunculiasis (Guinea worm disease) eradication, January 2023–June 2024. *Weekly Report*, 73(44), 991–998.
- Olorunkanmi, J. O., et al (2021). Challenges in Nigeria's education sector and the migration of Nigerian postgraduate students to South African universities. *Cogent Social Sciences*, 7(1). <https://doi.org/10.1080/23311886.2021.1890897>
- Jin, J. C. (2009). Economic research and economic growth: Evidence from East Asian economies. *Journal of Asian Economics*, 20(2), 150–155. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.asieco.2008.12.002>
- Kitagawa, F., Marzocchi, C., Sánchez-Barrioluengo, M., & Uyarra, E. (2022). Anchoring talent to regions: The role of universities in graduate retention through employment and entrepreneurship. *Regional Studies*, 56(6), 1001–1014. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00343404.2021.1904136>
- Kroll, H., & Schubert, T. (2023). Can university leaders effectively promote research on complex societal challenges? A change-agency perspective. *Higher Education Policy*. Forthcoming. <https://doi.org/10.1057/s41307-023-00307-2>
- Matemilola, S., & Elegbede, I. (2017). The challenges of food security in Nigeria. *Open Access Library Journal*, 4(12), 1–22. <https://doi.org/10.4236/oalib.1104185>
- Medina-Bueno, J. L., Guimón, J., Uyarra, E., & Sánchez Barrioluengo, M. (2024). Universities as catalysts of change in locked-in and vulnerable resource-based regions of the Global South. *Regional Studies*, 58(11), 1951–1964. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00343404.2024.2326172>
- Mohammed Saad, & Zawdie, G. (2011). Introduction to special issue: The emerging role of universities in socio-economic development through knowledge networking. *Science and Public Policy*, 38(1), 3–6. <https://doi.org/10.3152/030234211X12960315267453>
- Moyo, C., & Phiri, A. (2024). Knowledge creation and economic growth: The importance of basic research. *Cogent Social Sciences*, 10(1). <https://doi.org/10.1080/23311886.2024.2309714>
- Nwosu, I. C., Ndu-Anunobi, U. G., Udegbonam, I. P., & Nwokike, C. E. (2024). Critical evaluation of the effect of outsourcing on Nigeria's workforce development: A content analysis. *African Journal of Social Sciences and Humanities Research*, 7(3), 92–105. <https://doi.org/10.52589/AJSSHR-WMJASDKM>
- Okoduwa, S. I. R., Calignano, G., & Cobo, M. J. (2023). Editorial: The impact of countries' economy and wealth on their research activities. *Frontiers in Research Metrics and Analytics*, 7, 1129373. <https://doi.org/10.3389/frma.2022.1129373>

- Okolie, U. C., et al (2021). A critical perspective on industry involvement in higher education learning: Enhancing graduates' knowledge and skills for job creation in Nigeria. *Industry and Higher Education*, 35(1), 61–72. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0950422220919655>
- Okunlola, O. C., Sani, I. U., & Ayetigbo, O. A. (2023). Socio-economic governance and economic growth in Nigeria. *Journal of Business and Socio-economic Development*.
- Salter, A. J., & Martin, B. R. (2001). The economic benefits of publicly funded basic research: A critical review. *Research Policy*, 30(3), 509–532. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0048-7333\(00\)00091-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0048-7333(00)00091-3)
- Schultheiss, T., Pfister, C., Gnehm, A.-S., & Backes-Gellner, U. (2023). Education expansion and high-skill job opportunities for workers: Does a rising tide lift all boats? *Labour Economics*, 82, 102354. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.labeco.2023.102354>
- Siyanbola, W. O. (2016). Isn't there a better way? The case for a paradigm shift in R&D in Nigeria. Proceedings of the Third Conference on Science and National Development.